
Passaic Arts and Science Charter School

District ID: 6080 Year Opened: 2011 14-15 Grades: K-8 14-15 Enrollment: 534

Comparative

District: Passaic City

Select Student Population Characteristics 2013-14



Sources: 2013-14 Demographic data retrieved from: www.state.nj.us/education/data/enr/enr14/; Special Education data from the Office of SPED, Oct. 15, 2013; 2014-15 Enrollment data from the Office of School Funding, Oct. 2014

TIER RANKING SYSTEM

Overview

Each school with available data is placed into one of three Tiers based on its academic performance—Tier 1 (Top Performers), Tier 2 (Middle Performers) or Tier 3 (Low Performers). A school's Tier rank is determined by the number of Key Performance Indicators (KPI) it met or exceeded within the Academic Performance Framework. Elementary and Middle schools are evaluated separately from High schools. A school serving both elementary and secondary grades will be given two Tier ranks, one for their elementary grades and one for their secondary grades.

Key Performance Indicators (KPI)	For Elementary Grades (K-8)	For Secondary Grades (9-12)
	1. Absolute Performance on NJASK	1. Absolute Performance on banked HSPA
LAL & Math scores are	2. District Comparison on NJASK	2. District Comparison on banked HSPA
averaged for all relevant	3. Student Growth on NJASK	3. Graduation Rate
KPI	4. Peer Rank on NJASK	4. Peer Rank on banked HSPA
Tier Requirements	For Elementary Grades (K-8)	For Secondary Grades (9-12)
Tier 1	Option 1: Meet or Exceed the target in	Option 1: Meet or Exceed the target in
	Absolute Performance	Absolute Performance
Schools Falling Far Below the	Option 2*: Meet or Exceed the target for	Option 2* : Meet or Exceed the target for
target on any KPI cannot attain	all 3 of the following KPI: Comparative	all 3 of the following KPI: Comparative
Tier 1 status	Performance, Student Growth & Peer	Performance, Graduation Rate & Peer
	Rank	Rank
Tier 2	Meet or Exceed the target in 2 of the	Meet or Exceed the target in 2 of the
	following 3 KPI: Comparative	following 3 KPI: Comparative
	Performance, Student Growth & Peer	Performance, Graduation Rate & Peer
	Rank*	Rank*
Tier 3	Meet or Exceed the target in 1 or fewer or	f Meet or Exceed the target in 1 or fewer of
	the following 3 KPI: Comparative	the following 3 KPI: Comparative
	Performance, Student Growth & Peer	Performance, Graduation Rate & Peer
	Rank	Rank

^{*}For schools that do not have available data such as Peer Rank or SGP, 1 fewer target is required

This School's Tier Rank

2011-12 Tier Rank:	2
2012-13 Tier Rank:	1
2013-14 Tier Rank:	2

Reason for 2013-14 Tier Rank:

Met 2 of 3 Targets

Tier Rank KPI Targets and This School's Performance in 2013-14

K-8 KPI	Falls Far Below	Does Not Meet	Meets	Exceeds
1. Absolute Performance	NJASK			
Average % Passing (e.g. % Proficient & Advanced) LAL & Math	< 50%	50% to 74%	75% to 84%	≥ 85%
This School's Performance:		67	7%	
2. Comparative Performance	NJASK			
Average % Passing LAL & Math Compared to the District Average				
For Schools ≥ 50% Average Pass Rate	< 0%	0% to 9%	10% to 19%	≥ 20%
For Schools < 50% Average Pass Rate	< 5%	5% to 14%	15% to 24%	≥ 25%
For Schools and Sending Districts with ≥ 75% Average Pass Rate		< Dist. Avg.	≥ Dist. Avg.	
District Average:	47%			
This School's Performance:	21%			
3. Student Growth	NJASK			
Average Median Student Growth Percentile for LAL & Math	< 35	35 to 49	50 to 64	≥ 65
This School's Performance:	44			
4. Peer Rank	NJASK			
Peer Percentile for Academic Achievement	0 to 24	25 to 49	50 to 74	75 to 100
This School's Performance:	This School's Performance: 89			


K-8 PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK INDICATORS AND TARGETS


This school's performance within the framework is highlighted below


K-8 INDICATORS	Falls Far Below	Does Not Meet	Meets	Exceeds	
1. Absolute Performance	DCIOW	NJASK			
LAL: % Passing (e.g. % Proficient & Advanced)	< 50%	50% to 74%	75% to 84%	≥ 85%	
LAL: % Advanced Proficient	< 7%	7% to 19%	20% to 29%	≥ 30%	
Math: % Passing (e.g. % Proficient & Advanced)	< 50%	50% to 74%	75% to 84%	≥ 85%	
Math: % Advanced Proficient	< 7%	7% to 19%	20% to 29%	≥ 30%	
2. Comparative Performance		NJA	ASK		
LAL: % Passing Compared to the District % Passing					
For Schools ≥ 50% Schoolwide LAL Pass Rate	< 0%	0% to 9%	10% to 19%	≥ 20%	
For Schools < 50% Schoolwide LAL Pass Rate	< 5%	5% to 14%	15% to 24%	≥ 25%	
For Schools & Sending Districts with ≥ 75% LAL Pass Rate		< Dist. Avg.	≥ Dist. Avg.		
Math: % Passing Compared to the District % Passing					
For Schools ≥ 50% Schoolwide Math Pass Rate	< 0%	0% to 9%	10% to 19%	≥ 20%	
For Schools < 50% Schoolwide Math Pass Rate	< 5%	5% to 14%	15% to 24%	≥ 25%	
For Schools & Sending Districts with ≥ 75% Math Pass Rate		< Dist. Avg.	≥ Dist. Avg.		
3. Student Growth	NJASK				
LAL: Median Student Growth Percentile	< 35	35 to 49	50 to 64	≥ 65	
Math: Median Student Growth Percentile	< 35	35 to 49	50 to 64	≥ 65	
4. Peer Rank	NJASK				
Peer Percentile for Academic Achievement	0 to 24	25 to 49	50 to 74	75 to 100	

2013-14 Academic Performance Target Summary

K-8 LONGITUDINAL ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE








Note: Peer Rank is determined by the NJDOE and appears on each school's Performance Report as "Peer Percentile in Academic Achievement"

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

2013-14 Grade Level Performance at This School Compared to the District




Cohort Performance*


Number of students in this school with valid NJASK scores:

Grade Level:		3	4	5	6	7	8
LAL	12-13	60	59	60	61	0	0
	13-14	59	60	57	56	0	0
Math	12-13	60	59	60	61	0	0
	13-14	59	60	57	56	0	0

Note: Results are not displayed for grade levels with fewer than 20 valid test scores



^{*}Cohort Performance reflects the performance of grade levels across years. For example, a cohort consists of students who were in grade 3 in 2012-13 and grade 4 in 2013-14. This definition of cohort does not take into account student mobility, e.g. those who enter or exit the school, nor does it take into account student retention. For this reason, these cohort figures should not be considered definitive.



Subgroup Performance Compared to State Averages


Number of students in each subgroup with valid NJASK scores at this school:

2013-14 NJASK LAL				
Subgroup	N			
Special Ed	15			
FRPL	196			
LEP	6			
Black	24			
Hispanic	182			
Asian	8			
White	18			


2013-14 NJASK Math				
Subgroup	N			
Special Ed	15			
FRPL	196			
LEP	6			
Black	24			
Hispanic	182			
Asian	8			
White	18			

Note: Results are not displayed for subgroups with fewer than 20 valid scores

LAL Pass Rate by Subgroup at This School vs. the State



Math Pass Rate by Subgroup at This School vs. the State





Median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) by Subgroup Compared to the Schoolwide mSGP

Number of students in each subgroup with mSGP at this school:

	LAL
Subgroup	13-14
Special Ed	14
FRPL	194
LEP	7
Black	23
Hispanic	180
Asian	9
White	17

		Subgroup	mSGP	_	- Schoolv	vide mSG	SP .
	100 7						
	90 -						
	80 -						
_	70 -						
96	60 -						44
LAL mSGP	50 -						• •
	40	45		44	44		_
_	30 -			7-7			
	20 -						
	10 -						
	0 +	1	-		1		
	Special Ed	fRPL	LEP	Black	Hispanic	Asian	White
	Subgroup						

LAL mSGP by Subgroup Compared the Schoolwide LAL mSGP

Math Subgroup 13-14 Special Ed 14 FRPL 194 LEP 7 Black 23 Hispanic 180 Asian 9

White

Note: Results are not displayed for subgroups with fewer than 20 valid scores

17

Math mSGP by Subgroup Compared to the Schoolwide Math mSGP Subgroup mSGP Schoolwide mSGP

